

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,995	05/10/2001	Andrew N. Harker	0585-1026	5818
75	590 10/07/2003	EXAMINER		
William M. Lee, Jr.			BLACK, LINH	
Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson				DAREN MANAGER
P.O. Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0690-2786	2177		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/200	3 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		P.P.	'Ly
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,995	HARKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LINH BLACK	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 h	<u>//ay 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	s, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•,		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 May 2001</u> is/are: a)□] accepted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-,,	` '	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appl	ication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
	·		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 			
Attachment(s)	- p.1311, aliasi 66 6.6.6. 33	, 10 dilator 121.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/852,995

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(o) because they fail to show necessary textual labels of features in Fig. 1 as described in the specification. For example, placing the label, "data conversion module" with element 12, or "recipient party" with element 6, or "initiating party" with element 8, or "WAP mobile phone" with element 16, or "POTS handset" with element 14 of figure 1, etc...would give the viewer a clear understanding of the drawing. Please provide all textual labels for elements of figure 1. Also, any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

B

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 1

Art Unit: 2177

 Claims 1-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the following limitation is vague: "wherein the system manages communications between an initiating party and a recipient party according to **the** or each appropriate rule."

Regarding claim 16 the following limitation is vague: "wherein the unique identifier is used to direct a request for communications from an initiating party to a system which manages communications between the initiating party and the recipient party in accordance with **the** or each rule."

The format of the claims 1- 31 are improper. The claims have no clear separation between the preambles and the bodies of the claim. It is difficult to determine distinct boundaries between precise claim limitations in the claims. See Festo Corp. V. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., 234 F.3d 558, 56 USPQ2d 1865 (Fed.Cir.2000). Appropriate correction is required. Claims 2-15, 17-29 are rejected based on dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,995

Art Unit: 2177

Page 3

Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "A directory" is an abstract idea. It either is or analogous to a data structure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINH BLACK whose telephone number is 703-305-0317, and Right Fax number is 703-746-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306, for Before Final communications: 703-746-7239, and for After Final communications: 703-746-7238.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-7240.

linh Black

LINH BLACK Patent Examiner Art Unit 2177

3